

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement, the applicant elects, without traverse, Invention I (Method Claims 1 to 7).

Claims 1 to 7 have been amended to overcome the rejections based upon 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims 28 to 62 have been canceled as being directed to non-elected inventions. Claims 8 to 27 have been previously canceled.

Claims 1 to 7 remain in the application.

If the Examiner believes that questions or matters of clarification remain, applicant believes that such matters can be handled expeditiously by an interview by telephone to advance prosecution of this case, and the applicant is committed to proceed on that basis.

Respectfully Submitted,

By _____

Daniel D. Ryan, Registration No. 29,243

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.
Post Office Box 26618
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226
(262) 783 – 1300
2 June 2009
Customer No.: 88388
DDR:ar

Miramar Lab\20895.DIV\090602 Amendment B and Response to RR.doc

Enclosure: Amendment Transmittal
 Return Postcard